

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/914,823

Applicant(s)

GUTIERREZ ET AL.

Examiner

San-ming Hui

Art Unit

1617

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) San-ming Hui.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Charles Muserlian.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 22 November 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Muserlian informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed March 24, 2004. Instead, a divisional application was filed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)